

GEOMETRICAL PHASE, GENERALIZED QUASIENERGY AND FLOQUET OPERATOR AS INVARIANTS

V.I.Manko¹

Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche, Universita di Napoli, Italy.

Abstract

For time-periodical quantum systems generalized Floquet operator is found to be integral of motion. Spectrum of this invariant is shown to be quasienergy spectrum. Analogs of invariant Floquet operators are found for nonperiodical systems with several characteristic times. Generalized quasienergy states are introduced for these systems. Geometrical phase is shown to be integral of motion.

Quantum mechanics is usually connected with energy spectra of the systems with stationary Hamiltonians. The dynamics of these systems is described by the transitions between the energy levels. The nonstationary quantum systems have no energy levels due to the absence of symmetry related to time displacements. But for periodical quantum systems there exists the symmetry corresponding to crystal time structure of Hamiltonian. Due to this the notion of quasienergy levels has been introduced in Ref.[1] and [2]. The main point of the quasienergy concept is to relate the quasienergies to the eigenvalues of the Floquet operator which is equal to the evolution operator of a quantum system taken at a given time moment. The aim of this article is to relate the Floquet operator to integrals of motion and to introduce a new operator which is the integral of motion and has the same quasienergy spectrum that the Floquet operator has. Implicitly this result was contained in Ref.[3] but we want to have the explicit formulae for the new integral of motion. If one has the system with hermitian Hamiltonian $H(t)$ such that $H(t+T) = H(t)$ the unitary evolution operator $U(t)$ is defined as follows

$$|\psi, t\rangle = U(t)|\psi, 0\rangle \quad (1)$$

where $|\psi, 0\rangle$ is a state vector of the system taken at the initial time moment. Then by definition the operator $U(T)$ is called the Floquet operator and its eigenvalues have the form

$$f = \exp(-iET) \quad (2)$$

where E is called the quasienergy and the corresponding eigenvector is called the quasienergy state vector. The spectra of quasienergy may be either discrete or continuous ones (or mixed) for different quantum systems. For multidimensional systems with quadratic Hamiltonians the quasienergy spectra have been related to real symplectic group $ISp(2N, R)$ and found explicitly in Ref.[3]. We

¹On leave from Lebedev Institute of Physics, Moscow, Russia.

want to answer the following questions. Is the quasienergy conserved observable? This question is related to another one. Is the Floquet operator $U(T)$ the integral of motion? The operator $U(T)$ does not satisfy the relation

$$dI(t)/dt + i[H(t), I(t)] = 0, (\hbar = 1) \quad (3)$$

which defines the integral of motion $I(t)$. So, the Floquet operator $U(T)$ is not the integral of motion for the periodical nonstationary quantum systems. But as it was pointed out in Ref. [3] any operator of the form

$$I(t) = U(t)I(0)U^{-1}(t) \quad (4)$$

satisfies the equation (3) and this operator is the integral of motion for the quantum system under study. Let us apply this ansatz to the case of periodical quantum systems. We introduce the unitary operator $M(t)$ which has the form

$$M(t) = U(t)U(T)U^{-1}(t). \quad (5)$$

This operator is the integral of motion due to the construction given by the formula (4) for any integral of motion. The spectrum of the new invariant operator $M(t)$ coincides with the spectrum of the Floquet operator $U(T)$. We have proved that since quasienergies are defined as eigenvalues of the integral of motion $M(t)$ they are conserved quantities.

The given construction permits us to introduce new invariant labels for nonperiodical systems, for example, with the time-dependence of the Hamiltonian corresponding to quasicrystal structure in time described by two (or more) characteristic times. For such systems the analog of the invariant Floquet operator (5) will be given by the relation

$$M_1(t) = U(t)U(t_1)U(t_2)U^{-1}(t). \quad (6)$$

For polydimensional structure characterised by N times $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_N$ we can introduce the integral of motion

$$M_2(t) = U(t)U(t_1)U(t_2)\dots U(t_N)U^{-1}(t). \quad (7)$$

The eigenvalues of the operators $M_1(t)$ and $M_2(t)$ are the conserved quantities and they characterize the nonperiodical quantum systems with quasicrystal structure in time in the same manner as quasienergies describe the states of periodical quantum systems.

So, for stationary systems the spectrum of evolution operator $U(t)$ determines the spectrum of the Hamiltonian and vice versa. For periodical systems the spectrum of the evolution operator plays more important role. It determines the quasienergies and, in fact, the geometrical phase of the system which is the characteristic of special nonperiodical system for which the parameters of the Hamiltonian take their initial values after some time T [4], [5]. We will see below that the quasienergy for periodical systems and the geometrical phase for nonstationary quantum system are the identical objects [6]. For the systems which are characterised by more complicated time structure the evolution operator taken at characteristic points and the spectra of the operators of the type (6) and (7) are the invariant characteristics of the systems generalizing the notion of quasienergy for nonstationary quantum systems with deformed symmetry properties. To find generalized quasienergy states for the nonperiodical system with the integral of motion (7) we have to find the eigenvalues and the eigenstates of this invariant. The eigenvalue of the invariant (7) has the form (2) but in the case of nonperiodical systems we will call the number E the generalized quasienergy and the corresponding eigenvector will be called the generalized quasienergy state vector. The operator (7) may be represented in the form

$$M_2(t) = \exp(-iT H_{ef}(t)) \quad (8)$$

where $H_{ef}(t)$ is the invariant effective Hamiltonian. Its spectrum provides the generalized quasienergy levels of the nonperiodical system.

The quasienergy spectrum of periodically kicked quantum systems may be connected with quantum chaos phenomenon (see Ref.[7], [8],[9]). In the Ref.[10] the integral of motion for delta-kicked nonlinear oscillator has been found to exist even in the case of chaotic behaviour. In the Ref.[11] the symmetry group criterium for the periodically delta-kicked systems has been found to obtain either regular or chaotic behaviour of these systems. The criterium relates the Floquet operator spectrum to the conjugacy class of the system symmetry group. For the quadratic hermitian Hamiltonians this group turns out to be the real symplectic group $Sp(2N, R)$. The results of the Ref.[11] may be applied to the nonperiodical systems too. The generalized quasienergy spectrum is determined by the conjugacy class of the same group to which belongs the integral of motion (7). For quadratic systems it is the same real symplectic group $Sp(2N, R)$. In the case of two characteristic times the classification of the possible either chaotic or regular regimes of the system under study coincides formally with the classification for the delta-kicked periodical quantum systems given in Ref.[11]. If the Hamiltonian of the nonstationary system has the symmetry property

$$H(t + iT) = H(t) \quad (9)$$

where T is a real number the loss-energy states exist as analogs of quasienergy states for periodical systems (see Ref.[12]). The construction of generalized quasienergy states given in this talk may be repeated also for the nonperiodical quantum systems with two (or more) imaginary characteristic times. For systems with quasicrystal structure in time but with the imaginary characteristic times the generalized loss-energy states may be constructed. The mixed situation when some of the characteristic times are real numbers and the others are the imaginary ones may be of special interest. The geometrical phase is defined as the phase of eigenvalue of the evolution operator $U(T)$ where T is the time moment at which the parameters of Hamiltonian take their initial values. We answered the same question as for the quasienergies. Is the geometrical phase the integral of motion of the nonstationary and nonperiodical quantum system? The answer is "yes" because such nonperiodical system has characteristic time T . Due to this the operator $U(t)U(T)U^{-1}(t)$ is the integral of motion of the system. The form of this invariant coincides with the form of the invariant (5) of periodical systems but the physical meaning is different. The phase of eigenvalue of this integral of motion is the integral of motion too. But this phase is the geometrical phase of the quantum system since the spectrum of the operator $U(T)$ and the spectrum of the operator $U(t)U(T)U^{-1}(t)$ coincide. Thus we have proved that the geometrical phases of nonstationary systems are integrals of motion for these systems. The integrals of motion found in this work are of the same nature that have the time-dependent integrals of motion for the harmonic oscillator with varying frequency and a charge moving in varying magnetic field constructed in Ref.[13],[14] and [15]. Such integrals of motion have been analyzed and applied to general problems of quantum mechanics and statistics in Ref.[16]. For periodical system it was shown in Ref.[3] that such time-dependent integrals of motion exist which at the times nT ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) take their initial values. The quasienergy states are the eigenstates of these integrals of motion. The time-dependent invariants realize the identity representation of the lattice translation group in time which is symmetry group of periodical quantum system. The generalized quasienergy states may be also related to the integrals of motion which commute with invariant Floquet operator for nonperiodical quantum systems. From that point of view the nonperiodical systems with several characteristic times have to demonstrate similar physical properties that are usually considered as properties of purely periodical quantum systems. Thus, the types of chaotic and regular regimes of the kicked quantum systems are the same for both periodical and nonperiodical kicks.

References

- [1] Ya.B.Zeldovich,Sov.Phys.JETP.**24**,1006(1967).
- [2] V.I.Ritus,ibid,p.1041.
- [3] I.A.Malkin and V.I.Manko "Dynamical symmetries and coherent states of quantum systems"(in Russian),Nauka Publishers,Moscow(1979).
- [4] M.V.Berry,Proc.Roy.Soc.London **392**,45(1984).
- [5] A.Bohm,"Berry's connection,the charge-monopole system and the group theory of the diatomic molecule" in Symmetry in Science III,p.95, ed.by B.Gruber and F.Iachello,Plenum Press,New York (1989).
- [6] V.I.Man'ko and R.Simon (unpublished).
- [7] F.Haake,"Quantum signature of chaos",Springer-Verlag,Berlin (1991).
- [8] B.V.Chirikov,Phys.Rep.**52**,263(1979).
- [9] G.Casati and L.Molinari,"Quantum chaos with time-periodic Hamiltonians"in Supplement to Progress in Theoretical Physics on New Trends in Chaotic Dynamics of Hamiltonian Systems(1988).
- [10] V.I.Man'ko and F.Haake,Ann.Physik **1**,302(1992).
- [11] G.Karner,V.I.Man'ko and L.Streit,Repts.Math.Phys.**29**,177(1991).
- [12] V.V.Dodonov and V.I.Man'ko,Nuovo Cimento **44**,265(1978).
- [13] I.A.Malkin and V.I.Man'ko,Phys.Lett.**32A**,243(1970).
- [14] I.A.Malkin,V.I.Man'ko and D.A.Trifonov,Phys. Lett.**30A**,414(1969).
- [15] I.A.Malkin,V.I.Man'ko and D.A.Trifonov,Phys.Rev.**2D**, 1371(1970).
- [16] V.V.Dodonov and V.I.Man'ko "Invariants and evolution of nonstationary quantum systems",Proceedings of Lebedev Physical institute **183**,ed.by M.A.Markov,Nova Science Publishers, Commack,N.Y.(1989).